

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/967,029 09/28/2001		09/28/2001	Lurie Keith	016354-004500US	4197
20350	7590	09/10/2002			
		TOWNSEND AN	EXAMINER		
EIGHTH FL	OOR	RO CENTER	PATEL, MITAL B		
SAN FRAN	CISCO, C	A 94111-3834		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3761	
				DATE MAILED: 09/10/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		n p					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/967,029	LURIE ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Mital B. Patel	3761					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status	Sontombor 2001						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 S</u> 2a)□ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.						
, — , — , — , — , — , — , — , — , — , —		prosecution as to the merits is					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	Wit Horr Consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	r election requirement						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers							
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 September 2001</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4	5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Il Patent Application (PTO-152)					
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office							

Application/Control Number: 09/967,029

Art Unit: 3761

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the drug storage compartment must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

- 2. The following is a quotation of 37 CFR 1.71(a)-(c):
 - (a) The specification must include a written description of the invention or discovery and of the manner and process of making and using the same, and is required to be in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art or science to which the invention or discovery appertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same.
 - (b) The specification must set forth the precise invention for which a patent is solicited, in such manner as to distinguish it from other inventions and from what is old. It must describe completely a specific embodiment of the process, machine, manufacture, composition of matter or improvement invented, and must explain the mode of operation or principle whenever applicable. The best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention must be set forth.
 - (c) In the case of an improvement, the specification must particularly point out the part or parts of the process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter to which the improvement relates, and the description should be confined to the specific improvement and to such parts as necessarily cooperate with it or as may be necessary to a complete understanding or description of it.

The specification is objected to under 37 CFR 1.71 because the Applicant fails to provide an adequate written description as to how exactly the intrathoracic pressure is lowered by the valve system. Furthermore, it is unclear as to whether the negative

Application/Control Number: 09/967,029

Art Unit: 3761

intrathoracic pressure is lowered or the positive intrathoracic pressure is lowered. Also, Applicant fails to provide adequate support for how a patient can breathe in through the valve system and at the same time respiratory gas flow to the lungs is prevented or inhibited. Finally, Applicant fails to specifically teach a method wherein the range from about 0 cm H₂O to about 40 cm H₂O is **exceeded** and wherein the range from about 0 cm H₂O to about 20 cm H₂O is **exceeded** for the positive end expiratory pressure.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention because the Applicant fails to provide an adequate written description as to how exactly the intrathoracic pressure is lowered by the valve system as recited in Claim 1. Furthermore, it is unclear as to whether the negative intrathoracic pressure is lowered or the positive intrathoracic pressure is lowered. Also, Applicant fails to provide adequate support for how a patient can breathe in through the valve system and at the same time respiratory gas flow to the lungs is prevented or inhibited as recited in claim 3.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/967,029

Art Unit: 3761

- 5. Claims 6 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. As set forth by claim 6, Applicant fails to specifically teach a method wherein the range from about 0 cm H₂O to about 40 cm H₂O is **exceeded**. As set forth by claim 11, Applicant fails to specifically teach a method wherein the range from about 0 cm H₂O to about 20 cm H₂O is **exceeded**.
- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 8. As set forth by claim 1, it is unclear as to what exactly constitutes "some time".
- 9. As set forth by claim 4, the term "and/or" renders the claim vague and indefinite.
- 10. As to claim 6, in the specification, Applicant sets forth a threshold negative pressure in the range from about 0 cm H_2O to about -40 cm H_2O . However, the claim sets forth a range from about 0 cm H_2O to about +40 cm H_2O . It is unclear from the written description and the claim which range the Applicant intends to claim. Correction is required.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/967,029

Art Unit: 3761

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mital B. Patel whose telephone number is 703-306-5444. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:00 - 4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Dawson can be reached on 703-308-4304. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-306-4520 for regular communications and 703-306-4520 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

mbp September 7, 2002

GLENN K. DAWSON PRIMARY EXAMINER